Description

[SEGMENTED SCAN CHAINS WITH DYNAMIC RECONFIGURATIONS]

BACKGROUND OF INVENTION

[0001] Field of the Invention

[0002] The present invention relates generally to segmented scan chains with dynamic reconfigurations, and more particularly pertains to a method of diagnosing defects in scan chains by segmenting and reconfiguring the scan chains. Each serially extending scan chain is partitioned into segments. A single pin controls multiplexors positioned between the scan chain segments that make a data pattern in a segment feed into the next segment in the same scan chain, or feed into the next segment of its neighboring scan chain. The control pin can be used to statically restructure the scan chains, or it can be used to dynamically restructure the scan chains, when reading the contents of the scan chains, to route blocks of data as long as the length of a segment through the scan chains. When used

dynamically, the segments need to be of equal length.

[0003]

A great deal of diagnostically useful information is contained in the passes and fails of individual scan chains. This information becomes more detailed the more the scan chains differ in content, since then differences in fail rates can be correlated to differences in content. Unfortunately, the lengths of scan chains have been increasing ever since scan chains were first designed, since the scan chain number has remained roughly constant, while the number of latches to be placed in scan chains continues to increase. The ability to locate a defect in small portions of a scan chain rather than anywhere within the scan chain would dramatically increase the diagnostic information that can be obtained from scan chain fails.

[0004] Discussion of the Prior ArtBassett U.S. Publication No. 2003/0005363 discloses a technique for statically reconfiguring scan chains, such that passes or fails of a given small segment in a given scan chain can be determined by applying a scan test in a sufficient number of static configurations. The technique requires an even number of scan chains, as well as multiple test pins and control lines to affect the scan chain reconfigurations.

[0005] The present invention distinguishes from Bassett by using

a lateral rotate structure rather than pairing, by using a single control pin, and by doing the lateral shifts dynamically in time rather than just statically. Moreover, the static restructuring of scan chains in Bassett requires multiple control pins.

[0006] The only other know technique is full diagnosis of chain fails, as described by Stanley (*Stanley, K.;* Design & Test of Computers, IEEE, Volume: 18 Issue: 6, Nov.-Dec. 2001, Page(s): 56 -62).

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

[0007] The present invention provides a method of diagnosing defects in scan chains by segmenting and reconfiguring the scan chains. A plurality of serially extending scan chains are partitioned into a plurality of serially arranged segments such that each serially extending scan chain comprises a plurality of serially extending segments. A plurality of multiplexors are positioned between the plurality of segments of each scan chain, and are controlled and utilized to connect each segment of the scan chain to the next serial segment in the same scan chain, or to connect each segment of the scan chain to the next serial segment in a lateral adjacent scan chain. Scan in data patterns are introduced into the plurality of serially extending

scan chains. Particular defective segments of the plurality of serially extending scan chains are identified by controlling the multiplexors to connect and shift the data pattern out of each segment of a scan chain serially to the next serial segment in the same scan chain, or to connect and shift the data pattern out of each segment of the scan chain to the next serial segment in an adjacent lateral scan chain, with a sequence of serial shifts and seriallateral shifts being selected to identify particular defective segments of the plurality of serially extending scan chains.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0008] The present invention for segmented scan chains with dynamic reconfigurations may be more readily understood by one skilled in the art with reference being had to the following detailed description of several embodiments thereof, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings wherein like elements are designated by identical reference numerals throughout the several views, and in which: Figure 1 shows the basic structure of scan chain segmentation. The scan chain comprises vertically extending scan chains 1, 2 ...n, wherein each vertically extending scan chain comprises segments A, B, etc.

- [0009] Figure 2 illustrates a simplified arrangement of scan chains 1–8, with each scan chain having segments A–H, with the wiring and muxes (multiplexors) of Figure 1 being omitted for simplification.
- [0010] Figure 3 illustrates an example wherein scan chain 1 suffered a fail and the scan out data pattern at the bottom of scan chain 1 is not identical to the input scan in data pattern into scan chain 1, but wherein all of the remaining scan chains 2–8 passed and the scan out data pattern at the bottom of each of scan chains 2–8 is identical to the input scan in data pattern.
- [0011] Figure 4 shows the segment structure with the control signal for the muxes set to a value whereby the scan chains are now reconfigured along diagonal lines, and assuming that segments A and D in scan chain 1 are defective, the reconfiguration is such that the fails appear at the scanouts of scan chains 5 and 8.
- [0012] Figure 5 illustrates an example of how, after the above elementary test of Figure 3 in which scan chains 1 and 3 suffered fails, the particular failing segments of scan chains 1 and 3 can be further identified and located by statically changing the mux inputs tm to a 0, such that input scan in data patterns are shifted diagonally down and

to the right, and after static reconfiguration the corrupted scan out data appears at the bottom of the 5 and 8 scan chains.

- Figures 6 and 7 show a further refinement of the testing sequence involving dynamic shifting (during the scan unload) of the mux inputs to further determine, after the tests of Figures 3 and 5, which segments are defective, knowing already from the preceding tests that one of segments 1A, 1D, 3C and 3F, or some combination thereof, is defective.
- [0014] Figure 8 illustrates how some complications due to unequal length scan chains can be handled easily, as long the discrepancies in length are not too large.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0015] In the analysis of the present invention, a scan in data pattern consisting of a given sequence of logical ones and zeros is scanned into each scan chain, and then a scan out data pattern scanned out of the end of the scan chain is examined and compared to an expected scan out data pattern, normally identical to the input scan in data pattern or to a logical inverse of the input scan in data pattern, to determine if the scan chain is functioning properly or not, as is generally known in this art.

[0016] A preferred embodiment of the present invention partitions a scan chain into equal length segments, with the number segments in each scan chain being equal to the number of scan chains. The scan chains are ordered in a fashion such that each scan chain has exactly one unique neighbor. A single pin controls switches, multiplexors in a preferred embodiment, that make a given segment in a given scan chain feed into the next segment in the same scan chain, or into the next segment of its neighboring scan chain. The control pin can be used statically to restructure the scan chains, or it can be used dynamically, when reading the contents of the scan chains, to route blocks of data as long as the length of a segment through the scan chains.

[0017] If not too many scan chains fail, it is possible to determine whether or not each segment passes or fails. With a single scan chain, only one extra scan chain test is required to determine completely which segments in the failing scan chain pass and which segments fail. This technique is independent of the number of scan chains, as long as there are at least two scan chains, and requires only a single control pin.

[0018] Figure 1 shows the basic structure of scan chain segmen-

tation. The scan chain comprises vertically serially extending scan chains 1, 2 ... n, wherein each vertically serially extending scan chain comprises a plurality of segments A, B, etc. A plurality of multiplexors (muxes), having control inputs to control switching of the multiplexors, are positioned between the plurality of segments of each scan chain. The multiplexors, when having a first control input, connect each segment of each scan chain, except an initial segment, to a preceding serial segment in the same scan chain, and each segment of each scan chain, except a final segment, to a next serial segment in the same scan chain. Alternatively, the multiplexors, when having a second control input, connect each segment of each scan chain, except an initial segment, to a preceding serial-adjacent segment in an adjacent scan chain, and each segment of each scan chain, except a final segment, to a next serial-adjacent segment in an adjacent scan chain.

[0019] The muxes, depending upon their inputs tm, connect each segment (e.g. segment 1A) to the next lower segment in that same vertical scan chain (e.g. segment 1B through the mux below segment 1A) for an input tm = 1, or connect each segment to the next lower segment in the adja-

cent right scan chain (e.g. segment 2B through the mux below segment 2A) for an input tm = 0, and similarly for all of the muxes in all of the scan chains. Notice that the scan chains wrap around from right to left, such that the output of a segment in the far right scan chain n (e.g. segment nA) can be input to the next lower segment in the far right scan chain (e.g. segment nB through the mux below segment nA) for an input tm = 1, or can connect that segment to the next lower segment in the far left scan chain (e.g. segment 1B through the mux below segment 1A) for an input tm = 0. Thus, depending upon the inputs tm to the muxes, the output of each scan segment is directed either to the scan element directly below it in the same scan chain or to the scan element below and to its right in the adjacent right scan chain, or with a wrap around for the scan segments in the far right column to the scan element below and to its far left in the far left scan chain.

[0020] Figure 1 presents a planar view of the circuit such that data connections from scan segments in the far right column appear to wrap around to the scan segments below and to the far left in the far left scan chain. However, conceptually, the circuit can also be considered to present a

continuous wrap around of data from the scan segments in one scan chain to the scan segments in an adjacent scan chain, such that conceptually the scan chains are arranged in a circular pattern to present a continuous wrap around of data from the scan segments in one scan chain to the scan segments in an adjacent scan chain.

- [0021] Thus, in the arrangement of Figure 1, lateral shifts of scan data are only in one direction (to the right) with a wrap around at the right edge to the left edge, only one Dc input tm is provided for mux control, and with n scan chains there are n x (n-1) muxes.
- Defective segments of the scan chains are identified by controlling the muxes to shift down or to shift laterally to the right and down, with a suitable sequence of down shifts and lateral-down shifts being selected to identify defective segments. With n scan chains, there are 2 ^ (n-1) possible mux patterns.
- [0023] Figure 2 illustrates a simplified arrangement of scan chains 1-8, with each scan chain having segments A-H, with the wiring and muxes of Figure 1 being omitted for simplification.
- [0024] In an elementary test, an input scan in data pattern is introduced into the top beginning of each scan chain, and

the muxes are controlled such that the data patterns are clocked vertically down through the scan chains. If all scan chains were perfect with no fails, the scan out data pattern at of the bottom of each scan chain would be identical to the input scan in data pattern or the logical inverse of the input scan in data pattern.

[0025] Figure 3 illustrates an example wherein scan chain 1 suffered a fail, and wherein the scan out data pattern at the bottom of scan chain 1 is not identical to the input scan in data pattern into scan chain 1, but wherein all of the remaining scan chains 2-8 passed the elementary test, and the scan out data pattern at the bottom of each of scan chains 2-8 is identical to the input scan in data pattern.

[0026]

Figure 4 shows the segment structure with the control signal set to the opposite value such that the scan chains are now reconfigured along diagonal lines. Assuming that segments A and D in scan chain 1 are defective, the reconfiguration is such that the fails appear at the scanouts of scan chains 5 and 8. Figure 4 illustrates an example of how, after the above elementary test of Figure 3 in which scan chain 1 suffered a fail, the specific failing segments of scan chain 1 can be identified and located by changing the mux inputs tm to a 0, such that input scan in data

patterns are shifted diagonally down and to the right with a wrap around at the far right scan chain to the far left scan chain. In this example, the corrupted scan out data appears at the bottom of the 5 and 8 scan chains. Since the elementary test of Figure 3 indicated one or more failing segments in scan chain 1, by tracing the flow of data back, segments 1A and 1D are the failing segments identified by the combined tests of Figures 3 and 4. Note that with the wrap around, the scan data through segment 1D first passed through segments 6A, 7B and 8C before the wrap around to segment 1D.

[0027]

Figure 5 illustrates an example of how, after the above elementary test of Figure 3 in which scan chains 1 and 3 suffered fails, the particular failing segments of scan chains 1 and 3 can be further identified and located by statically changing the mux inputs tm to a 0, such that input scan in data patterns are shifted diagonally down and to the right with a wrap around at the far right scan chain to the far left scan chain. In this example, after static reconfiguration the corrupted scan out data appears at the bottom of the 5 and 8 scan chains. Since the elementary test of Figure 3 indicated one or more fail segments in each of scan chains 1 and 3, by tracing the flow of data

back, this implicates the segments 1A, 1D, 3C and 3F, or some combination thereof, as the failing segments identified by the combined tests of Figures 3 and 5.

Figures 6 and 7 show a further refinement of the testing sequence involving dynamic shifting (during the scan unload) of the mux inputs to further determine after the tests of Figures 3 and 5, which segments are defective, knowing already from the preceding tests that one of 1A, 1D, 3C and 3F, or some combination thereof, is defective.

[0029] Only the test designed to determine whether 1A is defective or not will be described. First set the mux inputs tm to 0, such that all of the scan in data patterns are shifted down and diagonally to the right for one segment change or cycle, and then dynamically shift the mux inputs tm to 1, such that the data patterns are thereafter shifted vertically downward. As a result, only segment 1A among the potentially failing segments is observed at the scan out point of chain 2. Figure 6 shows the fail data patterns from the 2 and 3 scan chains, assuming that segment 1A is defective, while Figure 7 shows the result when segment 1A is not defective.

[0030] In summary, Figure 5 shows an example of two failing chains (chains 1 and 3), while, after static reconfiguration,

the scanouts of chains 5 and 8 fail. This implicates the segments 1A, 3F, 1D and 3C, or some combination thereof. It is not possible at this point to determine exactly which segments are defective. Figures 6 and 7 show the results when the control signal is dynamically shifted, that is during scan unload. The control signal is set to 0 (sideways shifts) for the length of two segments, but is then set back to normal mode during the remainder of the unload. If segment 1A were faulty, this causes scanouts 2 and 3 to fail (Figure 6), whereas if segment 1A were not faulty, this causes scanouts 1 and 3 to fail (Figure 7). This set of three unloads would therefore identify uniquely the status of segment 1A. Similar additional tests can determine whether segment 1D, segment 3C and segment 3F are failing or not.

[0031] When the flow of the data is controlled dynamically, all segments have to be equally long, which may require virtual extensions of the scan chains at either the top or the bottom of the chains. An example of such a virtual extension is shown in Figure 8, when the extension is done at the bottom of the chains. Figure 8 shows a number of scan chains that are unequal in length. In particular, chain 2 is longer than either chain 1 or chain 3. All chains have

the same number of segments, and all segments are equally long, except, perhaps the final segment. The segment location labels G and H now correspond to the final two segments in each chain, and are not intended to indicate that the chains have only two segments. When data is shifted from the G segments into the H segments, some H segments may be too short to contain all the data shifted into them from the preceding G segments. Such excess data will instead be shifted out of the scan chain and into the tester. Since the program that generated the tests knows about the lengths of the chains, and can save this excess data into a tester memory (not shown). When the data that is stored in the H segments are shifted out of the chains and into tester memory, they can be combined with the already stored excess data. This step of combining data is well known, and can be done on the tester, or in a later step by software outside the tester.

[0032] If there are at least as many shift register latches as there are chains, the distribution of latches among chains can always be done in such a way that all chains have the same number of segments, and that all segments, except perhaps the last ones, all have the same length.

[0033] Pursuant to an analysis of the present invention, a block

of values in segment latches can be moved to one of the two next segments, and an arbitrary sequence of lateral (I) and down (d) connections can be used. In principle, an analysis of the present invention can use any combination of straight down and lateral down shifts, but that would result in a large number of possibilities. To simplify the analysis, a simple routine segment identification uses only patterns III..ld..ddd with m laterals, and n-m downs (0 <= m <= n-1) with n patterns, or with 2n patterns if patterns of the form ddd..dl..lll are used.

[0034] While several embodiments and variations of the present invention for a segmented scan chains with dynamic reconfigurations are described in detail herein, it should be apparent that the disclosure and teachings of the present invention will suggest many alternative designs to those skilled in the art.